UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
WESTERN DIVISION

· [2]	FII EP)	LEI ('S			
ele ele	.7 1	2	j.,	<i>[</i> ]:	T.) (

BPB, LLC and HOMEBASE PROPERTIES, LLC d/b/a "Munchies," Plaintiffs	)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASS.
v.	)	
JOSEPH J. MILLER,	)	
Defendant	)	Docket No. 04-30021-MAP
v.	)	
RE/MAX INTEGRITY REALTORS, INC., Third-Party Defendant	) ) )	

## DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM OF THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT

NOW COMES defendant Joseph J. Miller (hereinafter "Miller") who hereby replies to the counterclaim of third-party defendant RE/MAX Integrity Realtors, Inc. (hereinafter "RE/MAX") as follows:

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Admitted.
- 7. Admitted, only insofar as the purchase and sale agreement (hereinafter "the Agreement") speaks for itself.
  - 8. Admitted, only insofar as the Agreement speaks for itself.
- 9. Miller is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 9, and leaves RE/MAX to its proof thereof.

- 10. Admitted, insofar as Miller is alleged to have a right to recover the escrow funds. Denied insofar as Homebase Properties, LLC (hereinafter "Homebase") and BPB, LLC (hereinafter "BPB") are alleged to have a right to recover the escrow funds.
- 11. Miller is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 11, and leaves RE/MAX to its proof thereof.

WHEREFORE, Miller requests that RE/MAX's counterclaim be denied and dismissed.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST: RE/MAX has failed to state a claim for interpleader upon which relief can be granted, insofar as RE/MAX is in privity of contract with Homebase and/or BPB, and RE/MAX itself has a stake in or claim to the escrow funds.

SECOND: This Court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the counterclaim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), insofar as the amount in controversy is less than \$75,000 and insofar as Homebase, BPB and RE/MAX are all citizens of this Commonwealth.

THIRD: This Court lacks supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of the counterclaim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(b), insofar as the amount in controversy is less than \$75,000 and insofar as Homebase, BPB and RE/MAX are all citizens of this Commonwealth.

Dated: May //, 2004

THE DEFENDANT

JOSEPH J. MILLER

By his attorneys,

Douglas J. Rose BBO No. 629080

For Donovan & O'Connor, LLP

1330 Mass MoCA Way North Adams, MA 01247

Tel.: (413) 663-3200

Fax: (413) 663-7970

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS WESTERN DIVISION

BPB, LLC and HOMEBASE PROPERTIES, LLC d/b/a "Munchies,"	)	
Plaintiffs	Ć	
v.	)	
JOSEPH J. MILLER,	)	
Defendant	)	Docket No. 04-30021-MAP
v.	)	
RE/MAX INTEGRITY	)	
REALTORS, INC.,	)	
Third-Party Defendant	)	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Douglas J. Rose, Esq., do hereby certify that I caused a true copy of the within Reply to be delivered by first class U. S. mail, postage prepaid, this // day of May, 2004 to David R. Cianflone, Esq., Cianflone & Cianflone, P.C., 59 Bartlett Avenue, Pittsfield, MA 01201 and Michael D. Hashim, Jr., Esq., Hashim & Spinola, 82 Wendell Avenue, Pittsfield, MA 01201.

Douglas J. Rose

DJR:SLD